UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,522	03/15/2007	Su Dong Hong	K-0818	1062
34610 KED & ASSOC	7590 02/20/200 CIATES, LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 22120	00	LEE, MICHAEL		
Chantilly, VA 20153-1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/582,522	HONG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	M. Lee	2622		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 ⊆</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable application.	awn from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to by the □			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/582,522 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-5, 11-13, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim et al. (7,428,018).

Regarding claim 1, Kim discloses a controller (420), and a video processing unit (col. 5, lines 4-16).

Regarding claim 2, the scaler 410 meets the position and size adjustment as claimed (note col. 4, lines 62-65).

Regarding claim 3, note col. 5, lines 61-63.

Regarding claim 4, note col. 5, lines 34-36.

Regarding claim 5, in addition of above, Kim inherently includes two video processors or means for processing the first area and the second area (note col. 5, lines 16-22).

Regarding claims 11-13, and 15, in addition of corresponding rejections as set forth above, Kim further shows a setting step (col. 5, lines 4-16) and an outputting step (col. 5, lines 17-22).

Regarding claim 16, see col. 5, lines 45-49.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6-10, 14, 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (7,428,018).

Regarding claims 6-10, and 17-19, in addition of above rejections, Kim does not disclose that a plurality of full images, each with different picture quality, and a plurality of image parts, each also with different picture quality are selectively displayed on each of the display windows. In any event, in Figure 3, Kim teaches that two full size images are displayed on the same screen to facilitate different picture quality comparisons. Hence, in order to further enhance the picture quality comparing function, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made to include the full image display feature of Figure 3 into Kim to perform the well known functions as claimed.

Regarding claim 14, Kim does not specify the ratio setting step as claimed.

Although Kim only shows two split screens, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that two screens could be in any different sizes or ratios as claimed. It would have been a matter obvious design choice.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,522 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claims 20 and 21, see col. 5, lines 45-49.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bergquist (4,754,332) shows a plurality of images parts with different adjustments.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number 571-272-7349. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran, can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/M. Lee/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2622 Application/Control Number: 10/582,522

Page 5

Art Unit: 2622